

Appln. No.:10/586,069
Amendment Dated: May 19, 2009
Reply to Office Action of: February 19, 2009

MAT-8865US

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figures 35 and 36. These sheets replace the original sheets.

Remarks/Arguments:

Figs. 33 and 35 were objected to because they were not properly designated as prior art. Fig. 33, however, is not prior art, as described at page 4, lines 17-18 of the originally filed application. Figs. 35 and 36, which were previously attached to a Preliminary Amendment filed on July 14, 2006, were designated as "PRIOR ART" and labeled as "REPLACEMENT SHEET." Applicant has attached another copy of Figs. 35 and 36 with this Amendment.

The Title of the invention was objected to for not being descriptive. The Title has been appropriately amended.

Claims 1-20 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 4 and 13-20 are allowed.

Claims 11 and 19 were objected to for informalities. These informalities have been corrected.

Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. This claim has been amended to be more clear.

Claims 1-2, 7-8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Ueda. It is respectfully submitted, however, that the claims are now patentable over the art of record for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant's invention, as recited by claim 1, includes a feature, which is neither disclosed nor suggested by the art of record, namely:

... wherein both of the first ground section and the second ground section are formed on the substrate.

Basis for these amendments may be found, for example, at page 7, lines 4-6, and Figs. 1-2 of the originally filed application.

Applicant's exemplary embodiment includes first ground section 6, second ground section 7 and a substrate (i.e. high-frequency board 2). First ground section 6 and second ground section 7 are formed on a surface of substrate 2. (Page 7, lines 4-6, and Figs. 1-2). That is, first and second ground sections are formed on the same substrate. Thus, claim 1

recites "... both of the first ground section and the second ground section are formed on the substrate."

The cellular phone in Ueda includes a first printed substrate 37a arranged in first body 31 and a second printed substrate 37b arranged in second body 32. That is, first printed substrate 37a and second printed substrate 37b are separated, forming separate substrates. (Col. 8, lines 1-3 and Fig. 6). The Office Action recites "... first printed substrate 37a ... comprises a first ground section ..." and "... second printed substrate 37b ... comprises a second ground section ..." (Page 4, lines 2-3 and 5-6). The first and second ground sections in Ueda, therefore, are formed on two separate substrates. Ueda does not, therefore, disclose or suggest "... both of the first ground section and the second ground section are formed on the substrate."

Thus, claim 1 is allowable over the art of record. Claims 2, 7-8 and 10 depend from claim 1. Accordingly, claims 2, 7-8 and 10 are allowable over the art of record.

Claims 3, 5-6, 9 and 12 were objected to, but were indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 3, 5-6 and 9 have been amended accordingly. Claim 12 depends from claim 3. Accordingly, claim 12 is allowable over the art of record.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this Application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,515
Attorney for Applicant

LEA/DFD/nm

Attachments: Figures 35 and 36 (2 sheets)

Dated: May 19, 2009

P.O. Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482
(610) 407-0700

442731